

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/636,069	08/06/2003	James Carl Schmidt	66192-0009	9856
20480	7590 06/17/2005		EXAMINER	
STEVEN L.	NICHOLS HMAN & GRAVER PLLC	WEIER, ANTHONY J		
	ER FRONT PARKWAY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 150		1761		
SOUTH JOR	DAN, UT 84095	DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/636	,069	SCHMIDT, JAMES CARL			
		Examir	ner	Art Unit			
		Anthon		1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>04 April 20</u> 05	•				
2a)☐ This	action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.	·			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-39,41 and 42 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 and 40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Pa	pers .	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information (ferences Cited (PTO-892) Intsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/636,069

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 4/4/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search of both groups of claims would not be unduly burdensome of the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because the different groups require not only different strategy of search but also different areas of search. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marlsand (US 2003/0091698).

Marlsand discloses a product (e.g. wafer; see Abstract) containing protein material wherein said protein material comprises at least 25% protein (e.g. 64%, Example 9). Marlsand also discloses that said product contains starch, flour, oils, emulsifier (e.g. lecithin), and favoring agents (see page 2, paragraphs 10 and 12). Marlsand further disclose the presence of caseinate, whey protein isolate, egg protein, and soy protein isolate.

The claims call for ther particular ratios of different components and combinations thereof. However, absent a showing of unexpected results, it would have been obvious

Art Unit: 1761

to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have incorporated all of said ingredients as a matter of choice depending on, for example, availability, cost involved, taste desired, and texture desired. Recipes that involve the addition of common ingredients do not amount to invention merely because it is not disclosed that anyone else had assembled the same combination of ingredients as applicant has done. Applicant must establish coaction or cooperative relationship between the ingredients which produces new, unexpected, and useful function. In re Levin, 84 USPQ 232.

The claims further call for the use of egg white protein and wheat/pastry flour.

Although Marlsand discloses the use of flours and egg protein, in general, such ingredients as egg white protein and wheat/pastry flour are common and fall within the broad recitations of Marlsand. Absent a showing of unexpected results, it would have been further obvious to have incorporated same as a matter of preference depending on, for example, the availability and cost of same.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Weier whose telephone number is 571-272-1409. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony Weier

June 9, 2005

Anthony Weier Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761